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This qualitative research study examines some of the motivating factors and personality traits found in American construction professionals who have experience working on United States embassy projects. In response to global terrorism in the 1990’s, the United States federal government has entered into a phase of building newer, more secure embassy buildings in several countries across the globe. Construction professionals who choose to work on these projects are faced with a multitude of conditions, which would not likely be found in US domestic projects. Twenty construction professionals were interviewed about topics such as motivations to work overseas and personal challenges encountered abroad. Structured videotaped interviews were used as an investigative research tool. Analysis and conclusions are based upon the interviewees’ responses.
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Introduction

Since 2000, the United States (US) government has increased its efforts in designing and constructing US embassy buildings overseas (US State Department, 2008). In the 1970’s, the threat of global terrorism prompted the federal government to more closely examine the delivery systems with which embassies are built on foreign soil. Again, in the wake of the terrorist attacks on September 11, 2001, the US government dramatically ramped up its embassy security measures, creating opportunities for many international construction firms. According to Willis (personal interview, October 18, 2007), pre-9/11 US embassy buildings were, in many countries, old mansions or former commercial buildings. These locations have unfortunately proven vulnerable to terrorist attacks. Therefore, the US government has entered into a new phase of designing and constructing overseas work, which has created increased opportunities for US contractors to build abroad. As of 2008, there were 282 US embassies, consulates, and diplomatic missions on six continents (US State Department, 2008).

There are many factors, which can complicate the building process in foreign countries. In lesser developed countries, there can be any number of problems such as lack of fully functioning infrastructure, lack of service industries and government agencies, civil unrest, shortages of materials and skilled labor, poor quality local buildings, short construction seasons, and heavy turnover of contract staff due to difficult conditions (Retherford, 1998). Additionally, construction professionals who work overseas deal with the stresses of being far from home and in places where they may not speak the local language or adapt well to the local culture. These issues make overseas construction work a potentially daunting undertaking for American construction professionals. This paper investigates why Americans choose to work on US embassy projects and the motivating factors which are important to those individuals. It also explores cultural issues and personal challenges faced by those individuals working outside the United States.

Background

Existing literature, on international construction, identifies a company’s ability to attract, develop, and retain key people on overseas work assignments as a high priority for the firm. In their article titled Factors Affecting International Construction, Arditi and Gunhan (2005) comment:

Construction firms’ primary asset is their people. They are on the front lines building a structure and interacting with several parties on a daily basis. They create the source of competitive advantage. … It is not easy to find the right
people when needed. Losing talented key employees in a specific overseas assignment may hamper communication with local entities, exacerbate the clash of cultures, lead to misunderstandings of the risks involved, and consequently may influence the project in a negative way. (p. 277)

International construction workers tend to be confronted with challenges that may not be prevalent on domestic jobs in their home countries. Chan and Tse (2003) note, “In international construction projects, any party involved must be cross-culturally competent” (p. 376). Cultural, language, and other such issues must be addressed regularly by construction professionals while working overseas.

International corporations invest a lot of money and resources into training and preparing employees that are assigned to manage projects around the world. In order to keep up with other multinational companies, U.S. corporations are going global, but are the respected managers prepared to follow? In today’s international marketplace, successful implementation of a global strategy depends upon getting the right people, with the right skills, in the right place, and at the right time (Brett & Stroh, 1995).

As international corporate activities increase, the staffing of their operations involves more strategic concerns. However, foreign assignments have many differences, and dissatisfaction with the host country is a known cause of expatriate failure (Chen, Tzeng, & Tang, 2005). In fact, failure rates have been estimated to range from 25% to 40% and associated costs for each failure estimated from $55,000 to $85,000 (Mendenhall & Oddou, 1985). Even though the statistics will fluctuate depending on the variables, corporations can ill afford to take the international assignment process lightly. Thus, a crucial question that faces many corporations will continue to be, Who is willing to accept expatriate assignments and see them through to completion (Borstorff, Feild, Giles, & Harris, 1997)?

**Methodology**

In order to gain a deeper, richer understanding of the issues involved with overseas construction professionals, this study used a qualitative research methodology. Also, due to the lack of previous studies in this specific area and the lack of validated survey instruments, the authors felt that using qualitative research techniques would be the best research strategy on this initial project. In this way, the authors hoped to establish a foundation of knowledge on the subject that later studies could build upon using other research strategies such as quantitative methods or action research.

Strauss and Corbin (1998) define qualitative research, as “any type of research that produces findings not arrived at by statistical procedures or other means of quantification” (p. 10). This methodology was selected for this study because it is often difficult to quantify the personal thoughts and reflections given by individuals in response to written questionnaires. Strauss and Corbin continue on the subject of qualitative research:

> It can refer to research about persons’ lives, lived experiences, behaviors, emotions, and feelings as well as about organizational functioning, social movements, cultural phenomena, and interactions between nations. Some of the data may be quantified as with census or background information about the persons or objects studied, but the bulk of the analysis is interpretive. (p. 11)

Since this study dealt primarily with lived experiences and behaviors, qualitative research was deemed the most appropriate method for data collection. Multiple structured interviews were conducted with construction professionals who currently are, or have previously been, working abroad on US embassy projects. Participants for this study were employed by two international construction firms. The two firms have previously built US embassies, and are currently engaged in contracts to build US embassies and consulates in various parts of the world, including Africa, Asia, South and North America, Oceania, and Europe. These two firms have built, or were under contract (as of 2008) to build, over 40 US embassies, consulates, or supporting facilities in the past ten years. Also, during the past six years, these two firms have accounted for 20 – 40% of all US embassy and consulate work per year for the US State Department (US State Department, 2008).

All interviews were conducted in person at the individual’s place of employment. All of the interviews were videotaped, with the exception of two. One interview was completed via telephone, and another was completed via
Internet chat. In all, twenty interviews were collected, eleven individuals worked for the first firm and nine worked for the second firm. All interview subjects were U.S. citizens, with the exception of one citizen of the United Kingdom. One subject was originally from Italy, but permanently resides in the United States. Individuals who volunteered to be interviewed for this research had various levels of international construction work experience, ranging from 5 to 40+ years. All of the individuals were currently working in construction management positions except one who was an electrical foreman.

In the interview process, the same questions were asked to each individual and in the same order. The questions were designed to be broad and open-ended in nature, which encouraged the respondents to explain their answers in depth, but also specific enough to warrant a pointed response without rambling. Individuals could give more than one answer to a question, but no attempt was made to rank order their responses. Some individuals gave no answer to a particular question, while others gave multiple answers ranging from two to six. Interviews lasted from 30 to 90 minutes each, depending on the individual’s answers and the depth of explanation. Furthermore, in order to protect the identity of the persons and firms used in this research, false names have been given to both when making reference to participant’s direct quotes in the data analysis section of this paper (Fine, 1990).

The questions used in this research were derived from an earlier pilot study, conducted by one of the authors, while visiting US embassy construction projects in Lome, Togo and Accra, Ghana during the summer of 2006. During the West Africa visit, issues concerning motivation and why individuals chose to work overseas became a common theme when talking with personnel about their job duties and personal life. As an outgrowth of that initial study, the following questions were used in the interviews for this research:

1. What motivated you to try overseas work in the first place?
2. What motivates you to continue working overseas?
3. What are your long-term career goals in regards to staying in international construction?
4. What sort of personal challenges have you encountered while working overseas?
5. Has working overseas changed your outlook of the world?
6. What are the necessary personality traits for someone who wishes to be successful in overseas work?

Data Analysis

The first quantifiable piece of data obtained from the interview process was the various locations in which the individuals have worked. Africa and Asia are the areas where the majority of the interviewees have worked, with 80% having worked in various countries in Africa, and 75% in Asian countries. Europe had the next highest frequency, with 45%. North America, South America, Oceania, and the Caribbean follow, each with 20% or less. It is important to note that, in this instance, North America does not include the mainland United States or Canada. The sites in North America primarily include Mexico and Puerto Rico.

**Question 1: What motivated you to try overseas work in the first place?**

Figure 1 illustrates a breakdown of the common themes identified from answers to this question. Respondents often mentioned more than one reason for getting involved with overseas construction while others gave no answer.
In response to the question of initial motivating factors to work overseas, seven general themes were identified: adventure, challenge, travel, family reasons, patriotism, financial incentives, and altruism. Of these factors, adventure and financial incentives appeared the most, with seven instances out of the twenty interviews. On the subject of adventure, Smith commented, “I really just wanted a big adventure in life, and to see parts of the world and see really just a bigger picture about life”. Johnson echoed Smith’s sentiments: “Initially, I believe it was the sense of adventure. You know, I get out, see other places, have a chance to live there longer than just being a tourist. That motivated me, and I think that’s probably one of the things that keeps me here”.

Financial incentives were another driving influence for the subjects interviewed. Due to the challenging nature of overseas work, and the fact that those who work on the embassy projects spend long amounts of time away from home, working long hours (the common rule of thumb for a US embassy work week is six tens, meaning six days a week, ten hours per day), companies employing professionals on overseas projects typically pay greater salaries than they do for employees working domestically. Williams weighs in on the financial incentives: “Once I went overseas, the benefit of money made it more attractive (than domestic work)”. Adams goes into more detail about the driving factor in his decision to work overseas when he notes, “The real motivation was finance. The money is better, and the driving reason that I need more money is that I have two kids in college. Probably after I get them out of college, I’ll come back to the States and stay”. In addition to greater salaries, there is also a tax benefit of working abroad. Paul (2008), in The Wall Street Journal, notes, “If you make less than $85,700 and live abroad, you have to file the usual return but the tax rate is undeniably generous: nothing”. Even if the salary is higher than the aforementioned figure, Americans who work abroad will only pay taxes on their income which exceeds $87,500.

The opportunity to travel was another strong motivating factor among the construction professionals interviewed. Brown remarks, “I enjoy traveling. It’s easier to travel from overseas than it is from the US to go anywhere. It’s cheaper and it’s more convenient”. Perhaps the best summary of the top three motivators (adventure, money, and travel) comes from Carter, when he states, “I really enjoy traveling around the world, experiencing other cultures. It seemed like a great opportunity; a great adventure. And the money is good”.

Family reasons were the next motivator. Many of the respondents who mentioned family reasons were those who began working overseas when they were young, following in their father’s footsteps. Emerson notes, “I started overseas when I was thirteen with my father. My first assignment with him was in 1965 in Vietnam”. Green echoes a similar experience when he notes “My father worked overseas, and the family traveled with him. I’ve just always done it”. Adams noted a different type of family motivator, mentioning that his children were in college, and the higher salary coming along with international work helped him to provide for them.

**Question 2: What motivates you to continue working overseas?**

Question 2 differs from Question 1 in that the first question looked into the initial attraction of international construction. Question 2 attempts to seek out whether or not motivations change after professionals have already been working in international construction. The results of this question showed that while adventure and travel may have been the initial reasons many of the interviewees got into international work, the continued motivation has to do more with the challenging nature of the work. The financial incentive, however, remained a top motivator. Figure 2 displays the responses to this question.
Eight general themes were identified as motivators for continued overseas work: adventure, challenge, travel, family reasons, patriotism, financial incentives, interaction with different people and cultures, and career advancement opportunities. Whereas adventure was the top factor cited for initial motivation to begin overseas work, the challenge of the working conditions is the top reason for continuing in international construction, with nine of twenty respondents choosing it as a reason. Financial incentives followed with seven responses, and travel and adventure were next, with five and four, respectively. Interaction with different people and cultures garnered four responses, which was not a motivating factor for any of the interview subjects when asked why they initially decided to work in the international industry. One respondent cited patriotism, and one cited career advancement.

Overseas work presents challenges that arguably do not exist in domestic construction. Franklin notes, “I had a number of opportunities to work domestically. I did do one project in the US between international projects once. I’ve even done domestic estimating in the US. I don’t find the work or the estimating anywhere near as exciting as working overseas”. Garner mentions the details of the challenging nature of the work when he states, “It’s more of a challenge; all the factors that go into working with a different culture, a different language, logistics, planning. You get more hands-on experience. To me personally, it’s more rewarding than having to do the subcontractor management that you get in the States most of the time”. Garner refers to the fact that his firm self-performs most of the work on their Embassy sites. In a large majority of domestic jobs in the United States, general contractors self-perform a limited amount of the work, and subcontract much of the scope to specialized trades. A 2000 survey of US domestic commercial contractors found that 75% of those surveyed subcontract ten major scopes of work (Constantino et al., 2000). Green mentions that the challenge comes from every job being different. With domestic construction, he notes, “a lot of the stuff that you’re going to be doing is cookie-cutter”.

Seven respondents referred again to the financial incentives that come along with overseas work. It is worth noting that, while the challenging nature of the work replaced adventure as a top motivator, the financial reward remained as the second most popular reason to work overseas. Brown emphasizes, “Money is a big motivator, and being tax-free is a big plus”.

Travel, adventure, and the opportunity to interact with different people and cultures were the next most frequently occurring responses, occurring at five, four, and four respectively. Concerning the travel benefits, Howell states:

> There’s a big beautiful world out there, and I’d like to see as much of it as I can. It gives you the opportunity to travel around, and not just to travel on vacation for a week or a couple of weeks, but to be there long enough to get to know the people, and the place. From some of these locations, you can get to a lot of other locations for $1,000, which might cost you $10,000 to get there from (the United States), so it provides an opportunity to get away a little bit.

Although it only garnered four responses, the opportunity to interact with different people and cultures was nonexistent in the collection of responses from Question 1. Carter notes, “Once you get out of the United States, the whole world looks different. You get an opportunity to meet these people, and learn about them and their culture”. Jackson adds, “The excitement of…becoming completely immersed in a new country every few years…gets in your
blood”. Reasons related to family were mentioned twice, and patriotism and career advancement were each addressed once.

**Question 3: What are your long-term career goals in regards to staying in international construction?**

Figure 3 displays the responses to this question.

![Figure 3: Breakdown of respondents who will continue their entire career overseas versus those who will eventually move on to something else. Response to question 3.](image)

Twelve of twenty respondents stated that they planned on continuing international work for the duration of their career. Eight replied that they planned to work overseas for a limited amount of time, and then settle permanently in the United States or another location. It is interesting to note that five of those eight cited family reasons as to why they would eventually leave the international construction industry. Howell states:

> I have a two-year old and a four-year old, so at some point I’ll probably come back to the States and let them get grounded. I guess there are advantages and disadvantages to having kids overseas, but I enjoyed the baseball and football and all of that stuff, and I’d like for my kids to have that opportunity as well.

Garner comments on the subject of returning to the United States: “Ten to twelve years is my plan, and that is greatly influenced by starting a family”. Another trend among those who said that they would eventually leave the overseas work mentioned a certain timeframe within which they would stop working internationally. Six of the eight had definite timeframes in mind as for the number of years they planned on working overseas – Lee: 20 years, Garner: 10 – 12 years, Murray: 10 years, Adams: 7 years, Smith: 10 years, Jackson: 20 years.

Some of those who responded that they prefer to work overseas for the duration of their career noted that the lack of family issues allows them to continue to stay in the international realm of construction. Newton states, “I like it. I’m single, all my children are grown, and I can live anywhere”. Carter echoes the sentiment of not having family issues to hold him back when he states:

> I plan on making a career out of it. My wife and I don’t have children, and she enjoys being overseas too. We actually enjoy living overseas more than we like living in the States, so we plan on doing this for the full length of my career, and have pondered maybe even living outside of the United States once we’re done.

Emerson, another respondent who wants to continue overseas work for the duration of his career, mentions the financial incentives again:

> The salaries they pay overseas and the tax free benefits you get…they pay for your housing, and your insurance, and your car, so it becomes a financial thing where you can work overseas for a limited number of years, whereas you can work your entire life in the States to get to the same point.
While there seemed to be different reasons behind the decision to stay in international work for the duration of one’s career, the overwhelming majority of those who have decided that they will eventually leave international work was based on family-related issues.

**Question 4: What sort of personal challenges have you encountered while working overseas?**

Figure 4 breaks down the responses to the question of personal challenges. This question yielded seven common themes: being away from family and friends, health issues, security issues, adapting to new cultures, travel-related issues, language barriers, and time management. The vast majority of responses to this question involved being away from family and friends, with a total of seven occurrences. Time management yielded four responses, which was the second most frequent. After time management was language barriers, travel-related issues, adapting to new cultures, and health issues, which each resulted in two responses. Security issues received one response.

Figure 4: A breakdown of responses to Question 4.

The family-oriented responses mark a trend which began in the responses to Question 3, involving career plans. It would appear that arguably one of the greatest personal hardships that construction professionals working abroad encounter is the strain of being away from family and friends. Garner remarks, “The biggest personal challenge is being away from your family. That’s the biggest sacrifice you have to make. Everything else, as far as the food, the climate, and the people, it’s all relative. Family, more than anything else”.

Time management while overseas was another recurring theme. Construction professionals on US embassy jobs work a standard minimum of six ten-hour days per week. According to some interviewed subjects, the time spent at work can be far more than that standard. This can create difficulties in balancing time spent away from work in order to engage in personal affairs or simply to rest and relax. Johnson offers an example of the time required when he notes, “One of the greatest personal challenges is dealing with the amount of time that the job requires. That’s never easy. We’re frequently working six days a week. I have been working most of this year seven days a week”. While the previous questions yielded several different responses fairly evenly, the family and time management issues proved to be the most important to the interviewees in the way of personal challenges.

**Question 5: Has working overseas changed your outlook of the world?**

Figure 5 displays the breakdown of answers for the question of how working overseas has changed the person’s outlook. The question of how overseas work has changed outlook yielded five common themes: opening of the mind to different cultures and views, greater appreciation for what you have, increased patriotism, strengthened religious faith, and cynicism for the media. The opening of mind, and greater appreciation for what you have were the overwhelmingly most frequent responses, with a frequency of twelve and ten, respectively.
Working with a variety of cultures, languages, and nationalities to complete a common goal, on a deadline, can be a daunting task. Additionally, being the minority in a country, whether ethnically, culturally, or based on religion, is an undertaking that some Americans do not experience while working at home. Patterson makes observations based on his experience overseas:

You see what Europeans and other countries think of American policy. It makes you more cognizant and aware that there are other viewpoints than just our own. It tends to make you a little bit more broad minded, and it gives you the ability to listen to the opinions of other people.

Emerson gives an example of how working internationally has made him into a more open-minded individual:

My daughter has grown up overseas. She started kindergarten in Egypt, and graduated high school in Malaysia. That opened my mind up that there are not only Americans. There is a whole world of people. She dated Malaysians, she dated Egyptians in school, where thirty years ago that would have been the last thing on my mind. But when you grow up overseas you become more open minded. You accept a lot of things that a lot of people in the States wouldn’t accept.

The second most frequently mentioned theme was that of appreciating the American way of life. Garner comments, “We take for granted what we have in the United States. Water, power, sanitary, everything; you don’t get that over there. Living conditions, I wouldn’t say are adverse, but it takes some time to get used to. We have our advantages”. Patterson presents a similar position when he states, “You learn to really appreciate what you have back home. You see a lot of freedoms and rights that we take for granted, that they don’t enjoy in other countries, and it becomes very apparent as to why America is the envy of other countries”.

Conclusion

From the results of this exploratory research, it appears that common threads run through construction professionals working overseas on US Embassy projects. Motivators include money, adventure, challenge, and travel. It also seems that many individuals are greatly influenced by family issues and changes in family status. Furthermore, individuals tend to be motivated by adventure and financial reasons, and tend to be drawn away from international construction by issues related to family.

Interestingly, technical skills were rarely mentioned as important to success in the field of international construction. The consensus of those interviewed was that it is more important to possess the personality traits of adaptability and open-mindedness than any identified technical specialty. Such an implication could be of value to potential employers in the international construction industry to consider profiling personalities as a tool in the hiring process.

This study can serve as a foundation for further, more detailed, quantitative research on the topic of international construction personnel. Before conducting the interviews documented in this paper, there was little information available as to which questions would yield appropriate, useful results. Common themes have now been identified, and a quantitative survey based upon the findings of this study can now be created and distributed to a wider sample of respondents. The results of such future research would likely yield further themes as to the motivations and personalities of American construction professionals working on international projects.
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